

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE C.N.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR

WEDNESDAY, THE 13TH JUNE 2007 / 23RD JYAISHTA 1929

WP(C).No. 17524 of 2007(J)

PETITIONER:

K.P.ANIL KUMAR, KAVIL VEEDU,
THURUTHIKKARA, KUNNATHUR P.O.,
KOLLAM DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.B.MOHANLAL

RESPONDENTS:

1. THE ACCOUNTS OFFICER(TR),
BSNL, CELLULAR MOBILE TELEPHONE SERVICES,
RTP BUILDING, LUKES LANE, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.
2. THE ACCOUNTS OFFICER(IMPCS),
OFFICE OF THE GENERAL MANAGER(TD),
VELLAYITTAMBALAM, KOLLAM.
3. THE TAHSILDAR, TALUK OFFICE KUNNATHUR,
SASTHAMKOTTA, KOLLAM DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.MATHEWS K.PHILIP,SC, BSNL

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 13/06/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:

C.N.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR, J.

WP(C) No. 17524 of 2007

Dated, this the 13th day of June, 2007

J U D G M E N T

This WP(C) is filed on account of a misunderstanding that all bills stand settled by the petitioner under OTS scheme. However, learned Standing Counsel submitted that OTS benefits in the form of rebate at various rates were granted for different years. While petitioner settled one bill with 12% rebate, the subsequent bill for the year 2003-2004 for Rs. 13,729/- is still pending. According to the learned Standing Counsel, petitioner would have got 10% rebate if he has made payment. Petitioner submitted that Ext.R1(c) notice was not received by him. However, I feel petitioner can be granted one months' time to clear the arrears with 10% rebate. This WP(C) is therefore disposed directing respondents to treat the liability as settled, if petitioner remits Rs. 13,729/- after reducing 10% thereof on or before 15/07/2007. If petitioner wants to contest the matter petitioner can make payment and apply for arbitration. Application for arbitration will be considered in accordance with norms. If petitioner does not made payment as above, recovery can be initiated.

(C.N.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR, JUDGE.)